

NHS Award Nominations Prompt Library

Turn raw quality improvement clinical data into winning regional & national submissions using Generative AI.

PRE-FLIGHT CONFIGURATION (RUN BEFORE ANY PROMPT EXECUTION)

- **Context Mapping:** [Award Name] + [Category] + [Word Count Limit] + [Judging Criteria]
- **Target Standard:** Instruct the LLM to output at "Winner / Shortlist Standard" exclusively.
- **Sourcing:** Explicitly note if the clinical evidence provided is complete, or if the AI must map data gaps.
- **Governance:** Do not upload any identifiable patient data or organizational sensitive material.

PHASE 1: PROJECT EVALUATION & DATA EXTRACTION

1. The Gatekeeper (Viability Check)

Determine if your quality improvement project is competitive enough to merit entry, or if it requires more local maturity.

```
Act as an experienced NHS national awards judge.
Assess the project summary below against 5
parameters:
1. True innovation vs standard clinical practice.
2. Baselines vs post-intervention quantitative
metrics.
3. Scale/reach outside of a single clinic or team.
4. Scale-up potential / transferability blueprint.
5. Strategic alignment with immediate NHS priority
areas.

Classify as: [Strong Contender] | [Borderline] |
[Unlikely to Shortlist].
Output: 1) Verdict & blunt 3-sentence rationale, 2)
Biggest killer weakness, 3) Clear action step
(Submit/Strengthen/Hold).
Summary: [Paste Summary]
```

2. The Evidence Extractor & Gap Registry

Isolates high-value operational metrics from clinical narratives and identifies quantitative voids.

```
Act as an NHS awards technical assessor. Analyze
the clinical text below and extract only hard,
usable evidence into:
- Quantitative Outcomes: baseline vs post-
intervention.
- Patient-Centric Impact: clinical safety, wait
times.
- Operational Impact: bed days saved, system
capacity.
- True Innovation: precise mechanism of
improvement.

Next, generate a "Missing Evidence Registry":
Identify weak metrics and recommend highly specific
NHS system indicators (e.g. ED length of stay,
clinical audit points) to query locally.
Text: [Paste Content]
```

PHASE 2: HIGH-IMPACT DRAFTING & POSITIONING

3. The STAR Writing Engine (Constrained)

Drafts highly compelling entries while strictly banning typical bureaucratic AI fluff and hallucinated data.

```
Write a winning NHS award submission based on the
provided evidence. Max [Insert] words. Use STAR
structure (Situation, Task, Action, Result).

Strict Rules:
- Plain English: No unexplained jargon/acronyms.
- Clear Baselines: Do not invent numbers. If data
is absent, write "[INSERT DATA: Description]".
- Active Clauses: Use active voice ("We
restructured..." not "A restructuring was
implemented").
- Outcome Priority: Order results with patient
benefit first, system second, finances third.
Criteria: [Paste Criteria] | Evidence: [Paste
Evidence]
```

4. The Innovation Clarifier

Differentiates active, structured service redesign from clinical teams simply "working harder."

```
Rewrite the text below to make the core innovation
unmistakable. Explicitly break down and contrast:
1. Legacy Failure Mode: What was failing or
bottlenecked?
2. Inadequacy of Status Quo: Why did traditional
guidelines or default NHS approaches fail to
resolve it?
3. The Redesign Mechanism: What is the precise new
element introduced here that hasn't been done
elsewhere?
4. Macro Value: Why does this matter to the wider
NHS?

Rules: Strip out soft terms (e.g., dynamic,
holistic).
Text: [Paste Text]
```

PHASE 3: EDITORIAL POLISHING & MOCK DEFENSE

5. Red-Team Review & Upgrades

Performs a critical review against standard judging grids to find weak spots in logic or evidence.

Act as an uncompromising NHS National Awards Panel Chair. Score this draft out of 10 for Impact, Innovation, Rigor of Evidence, and Clarity.

Provide:

1. The Rejection Rationale (the exact reason why this entry wouldn't win).
2. The "Stop Saying This" List: Identify vague clinical buzzwords or unproven assumptions.
3. 3 Quick Edits: Immediate text changes.
4. 2 Deep Upgrades: Fundamental data pivots required.

Draft: [Paste Draft]

6. Mock Judging Panel Pressure Test

Creates defensive scripts for critical questions likely to be asked by panels during interviews.

Act as an adversarial NHS judging panel. Generate the 5 most challenging, skeptical questions regarding this project's long-term validity (focusing on Attribution, Financial Sustainability, and Bottleneck Shifts).

For each question, draft an evidence-led defensive response utilizing only the provided text. If clinical data is lacking, outline the specific metric needed.

Draft: [Paste Draft]

The QI Hub Modular Polishers

Inject these short modifier prompts directly into your chat workspace to refine outputs:

- *"Convert all passive voice sentences into active, action-oriented clauses."*
- *"Scan this draft and highlight any clinical or service claims that do not have a corresponding metric."*
- *"Identify any clinical acronyms in this text and ensure they are clearly defined at first use."*
- *"Do not speculate or smooth over gaps. If an impact claim is missing its data source, flag it in bold."*